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# Introduction to Social Listening

The launch of Facebook in **DATE** and Twitter in **DATE** marked the beginning of a direct access to consumer’s minds. From Internet to traditional, marketing will be shaken by these new insights coming right of the consumers’ fingertips. The strength of these companies does not only lie into their capacity to connect people together but to a certain extent in the possibility for anyone who listens to learn more about the opinion of a particular group of people.
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The heart of any social listening strategy lies into the quality and quantity of the data collected. There are several options to collect from social networks everything they expose. The simplest one is to use their dedicated APIs that allows through paid plans to access everything, for example Twitter, or part, for example Facebook, of their network. This can be rapidly a cost if the social listening strategy requires a daily update and that the topic monitored generates a large quantity of data.

The second option is to go for some pure players like Talkwalker, Sprout Social or Hootsuite that allow through a paid plan to access everything they expose. This tools can aggregate several social media sources, for example Twitter and Instagram

## Internet and Traditional Marketing

Marketing, meaning all the activities a company must accomplish to promote and sell their product, has been done since the Antiquity with mosaic design where an artist has spent time to create advertisement campaign for fish sauce[[1]](#footnote-1). But marketing has done quite the leap since Antiquity and nowadays, it gathers four major methods being printing, broadcasting, direct mailing and phoning. Almost every company in the world use at least one of these techniques to advertise its product.
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# Data Preparation

## Data Collection

Of all methods evoked in the Introduction to gather data, we decided here to go for a simpler method. To collect tweets from around the time Volkswagen announced that the used cheating software for their emissions tests, we used a classic web scraping package.

Web scraping allows to gather the content of a web page via a programming language, here Python, and retrieve from the web page every content that is displayed. The trick to build a good web scraper is to handle queries, exceptions and to respect the limitations asked from the website. To avoid building reliable web scrapers, we will use an open source package.

We used the twitterscraper[[2]](#footnote-2) Python package. It has been chosen because it is reliable and often updated and because it allows to go around Twitter Search API limitations of only 7 days historical data for the free plan. Since we need tweets that dates back to 2015, it was very important.

This web scraping method allows us to gather the username and the full name of the person that writes a tweet, the tweet-id, its URL, the text, the HTML, the tweet timestamp and finally the number of likes, of replies and of retweets the tweet has.

To have the tweets that we want, we used the following query:

twitterscraper volkswagen -bd 2015-09-01 -ed 2015-10-15

It means that the web scraper will gather all tweets that match three criteria. That the text of tweet contains Volkswagen, that it has a timestamp between the September 1st,2015 and October 10th, 2015.

This query has allowed us to gather 914 274 tweets.

A typical tweet collected looks like this:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Column** | **Output** |
| fullname | Rhondas Romance |
| html | <p class="TweetTextSize js-tweet-text tweet-text" data-aria-label-part="0" lang="en">I liked a <a class="twitter-atreply pretty-link js-nav" data-mentioned-user-id="10228272" dir="ltr" href="/YouTube"><s>@</s><b>YouTube</b></a> video <a class="twitter-timeline-link" data-expanded-url="http://youtu.be/pmzZbUioFAQ?a" dir="ltr" href="http://t.co/L6oksJ9rUO" rel="nofollow noopener" target="\_blank" title="http://youtu.be/pmzZbUioFAQ?a"><span class="tco-ellipsis"></span><span class="invisible">http://</span><span class="js-display-url">youtu.be/pmzZbUioFAQ?a</span><span class="invisible"></span><span class="tco-ellipsis"><span class="invisible">\xa0</span></span></a> 2015 <strong>Volkswagen</strong> Sales Event | “Model Rear End” Passat Commercial</p> |
| id | 640675779253280768 |
| likes | 0 |
| replies | 0 |
| retweets | 0 |
| text | I liked a @YouTube video http://youtu.be/pmzZbUioFAQ?a\xa0 2015 Volkswagen Sales Event | “Model Rear End” Passat Commercial |
| timestamp | 2015-09-06T23:59:43 |
| url | /RhosBookReviews/status/640675779253280768 |
| user | RhosBookReviews |

## Data Cleaning

To effectively retrieve insights from the collected tweets it is very important to process a cleaning of the texts. A text as such “I liked a @YouTube video http://youtu.be/pmzZbUioFAQ?a\xa0 2015 Volkswagen Sales Event | “Model Rear End” Passat Commercial” has a lot of unnecessary noise that would make the analysis harder.

The first step is to remove all the unnecessary **noise** in the textual data. The first noise is the links in a tweet. Most of the time they embed an image and the link does not provide any information on the text. We also remove the # and @ because it does not add much. Later on, we will only focus on the mention and the hashtag but for pure textual analysis and sentiment analysis it does not add any value. We then remove all numbers because of the low information on sentiment they provide and then of course the punctuation. Finally, everything is put to lower case. One could argue that the tweets in capital letters could bear more information or more vigor in the tone, but it drastically increases the number of different words where in reality, *Foo*, *FOO* and *foo* are the same word.

The second step is to keep only English tweets. This is an arbitrary choice because we could have kept all tweets and perform the analysis on multi language tweets. However, working on only one language is easier. It is easier to draw insights from a known language, the stemming process is, as of today, better performed on the English language and it is a way to reduce, at least for training the size of the dataset. Meaning that after this phase, we actually lost 62% of the database, with only **339 367 tweets remaining**.

The third step is to remove **stop words**. Stop words are very common words of a language that, in a sentence, do not create meaning. For example, in the phrase “I am a student.”, “I” and “a” are stop words. Removing these words allow faster computation and better relevance in the analysis. We will use the default stop words list of the NLTK package. However here we will add one stop word which is “volkswagen” since it is on every tweet, it does not add any useful information to the tweet.

The fourth and last step is **stemming**. Stemming is the process of transforming a word back to its stem, or root. Once more, it allows to reduce the number of words and yet does not reduce the accuracy and the information of the tweet. For example, “I liked fishes” and “I like fish” should be considered synonyms since it is the same sentiment. Stemming will output “I like fish” for both tweets. It suppresses the tense mark, the plural mark and reduce to their root adverbs and such.

At the end of the all cleaning process, our tweet “I liked a @YouTube video http://youtu.be/pmzZbUioFAQ?a\xa0 2015 Volkswagen Sales Event | “Model Rear End” Passat Commercial” has been transformed to “like youtub video sale event rear passat commerci”

## Data quality

Data quality is at the center of every problematic linked to data. At the start in relational database, a huge part of the database management is to make sure that the right format is entered, there is no missing values or no duplicates across the system. For unstructured data such as text, it is even harder to monitor the overall quality of the database.

To assess to quality of the tweets we have collected we will use the Text Quality Dimensions of Daniel Sonntag[[3]](#footnote-3). Note that we will not compute a score but only using Sonnatg’s dimensions as framework to think about the quality of our tweets.

The **intrinsic text quality**, as stated in the paper, can yet not be assessed automatically. We can only infer that as most of the tweets are emitted by non-competent authorities or persona or bots, the intrinsic text quality is not particularly good. The **accessibility of texts** is quite good since this is public data once it is one Twitter’s platform. It is a bit diminish by the fact that we used a particular software to retrieve them. The **contextual text quality**, meaning that the quality “*must be considered within the context of the task at hand*” is very good. Since we want to analyze the impact of the Diesel Gate on Volkswagen digital representation each tweet speaking or referring to Volkswagen is relevant in the analysis. And last but not least, **representational text quality and deficit resources**. Sonntag describe two problem. Single source problems such as “*wrong formulated data values, typing errors, different spellings of same word, co-reference problems, and lexical ambiguity*”. And multi-source problems “*homonym name conflicts and document duplicates*”. For Twitter in general, these problems are the scourge of any good analysis. We will find slang, a lot of typing errors, insults, irony and a lot of duplicates with some bots posting a lot of time the same tweet.

Overall, we could divide our data quality assessment in two categories. The relevance for the subject would be the first one. As a matter of fact, Twitter listening always brings a lot of noise data but most of the time it is consistent in quantity or content. Thus, the real content which is interesting is always found and relevant to the listened subject, here the reaction on the Diesel scandal. The second category would be the raw quality of the textual data collected which is quite poor.
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